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Outline

• Context and statistics
• Short overview of the French asylum authorities and procedure
• Analysis of the interpretation of some elements of the refugee definition in situations of armed conflict
France
Protection rates, OFPRA (first) level, 2016
(Source: Ofpra Activity report)

- **Sudan**: 42.3% of protection rate, including 86% refugee protection
- **Iraq**: 78% of protection rate, including 80% refugee protection
- **Syria**: 97.3% of protection rate, including around 50% refugee protection (against around 70% until 2015)
- **Afghanistan**: 80.9% of protection rate, including 77% subsidiary protection
French Asylum Authorities

• **OFPRA** ("French Office for the Protection of Refugees and Stateless Persons"), independent administrative body

• **CNDA** ("National Asylum Court"), specialized administrative Court

• **Council of State**: issues of law and procedure
Analysis of the Interpretation of Some Elements of the Refugee Definition in Situations of Armed Conflict

Three main findings:

• Relationship between the 1951 Convention refugee definition and subsidiary protection
• Restrictive interpretation
• Constructive interpretation
Relationship between the 1951 Convention Refugee Definition and Subsidiary Protection

• Sequential approach to adjudication
• Primacy of refugee protection over subsidiary protection
Restrictive Interpretation of Some Elements of the Refugee Definition

• Assessment of the well-founded fear of persecution: visibility requirement + insufficient forward-looking assessment

• Convention grounds: perception of the persecutor insufficiently taken into account

• No imputation of political opinions to members of State institutions (Afgh)
Constructive Interpretation of Some Elements of the Refugee Definition

• Sanction for refusing to serve in the armed forces (in Syria) constitutes persecution

• Convention ground imputed to groups of people based on their family, community, geographic or other links (in Syria)
Conclusion

Perspectives for a possible influence of the UNHCR GIPs No.12 in the decision-making of the French asylum authorities in situations of armed conflict?
FRANCE
Number of applications and protection rates of applicants from main countries of origin where situations of armed conflict prevail

*Source: Ofpra Activity reports*

*NB: figures do not include accompanying children*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2016</th>
<th>Total number of OFPRA decisions (1st instance)</th>
<th>Number of decisions overturned by the National Asylum Court (CNDA) (Court level)</th>
<th>Total positive decisions OFPRA + CNDA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Total positive decisions</td>
<td>% positive decisions</td>
<td>Share of refugee status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFG</td>
<td>3 270</td>
<td>80,9%</td>
<td>741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRAQ</td>
<td>1 343</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>1 083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYRIA</td>
<td>3 857</td>
<td>97,3 %</td>
<td>1 916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUDAN</td>
<td>2 456</td>
<td>42,3%</td>
<td>2 115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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